EXHIBIT 6

REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2322-5 Filed 12/03/17 Page 2 of 6 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
                     SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 3
4
 5
     WAYMO LLC,
 6
                    Plaintiff,
 7
        vs.
                                             Case No.
                                             17-cv-00939-WHA
8
     UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
     OTTOMOTTO, LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC, )
9
                   Defendants.
10
11
12
       HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -- OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY
13
          VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION of WAYMO LLC
14
15
          by and through its Designated Representative
                    ASLAN (SHAWN) BANANZADEH
16
17
                    San Francisco, California
                    Thursday, August 24, 2017
18
                            Volume I
19
20
21
     Reported by:
22
     MARY J. GOFF
     CSR No. 13427
     Job No. 2688513
23
24
25
     PAGES 1 - 235
                                                      Page 1
```

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2322-5 Filed 12/03/17 Page 3 of 6 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	Trade Secret 25?	01:01:38
2	A No, I don't have any more details.	01:01:40
3	Q You testified that Trade Secret 2 incurred	01:01:44
4	a cost for development. You also	01:01:47
5	testified that Trade Secret 25 incurred the same	01:01:52
6	cost for development.	01:01:57
7	Is there any chance that costs of	01:02:20
8	development is being double counted between Trade	01:02:23
9	Secret 2 and Trade Secret 25?	01:02:26
10	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:02:29
11	A So one thing in in answering your	01:02:30
12	question, I think you said that my testimony was	01:02:33
13	that it costs to formulate those two	01:02:36
14	trade secrets.	01:02:40
15	So I just want to say first that, like,	01:02:40
16	that's that's not my testimony. What what I'm	01:02:41
17	saying is that insofar is that an expert calculated	01:02:43
18	this, it's I'm showing the my testimony is	01:02:46
19	that in the years 2009 through 2015, those were the	01:02:49
20	expenses incurred.	01:02:54
21	With respect to your the the core of	01:02:56
22	the question or, like, the latter part of what you	01:02:59
23	just said of: Is there a double count, I don't	01:03:01
24	think I am technically minded enough to say whether	01:03:05
25	that is true or not.	01:03:10
		Page 94

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2322-5 Filed 12/03/17 Page 4 of 6 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	Q (BY MS. CHANG) Do you understand that you	01:03:11
2	were designated corporate witness, so you're	01:03:13
3	supposed to do testify on behalf of the company	01:03:16
4	regarding the cost of each of the alleged trade	01:03:19
5	secrets? Are you not prepared to testify as to that	01:03:21
6	today?	01:03:25
7	A So so I'm I'm prepared to testify.	01:03:26
8	And and I have been trying to testify about the	01:03:29
9	numbers and the costs incurred by this program.	01:03:32
10	I am not technically minded to say that a	01:03:37
11	trade secret involved this cost versus that cost. I	01:03:39
12	think that's a more expert-based formulation.	01:03:44
13	And I'm not prepared or capable of of	01:03:49
14	creating like, I'm not an expert, right. Like,	01:03:52
15	my understanding is you you have expert reports	01:03:54
16	on these kinds of things, and there will be a whole,	01:03:56
17	you know, rigmarole around that.	01:03:58
18	But I am prepared to tell you about what	01:04:01
19	are the costs and what we have been discussing about	01:04:01
20	what the expenses that we're showing in these	01:04:04
21	various years.	01:04:07
22	Q If I understand your testimony correctly,	01:04:08
23	you're prepared to testify about the costs incurred	01:04:10
24	by Waymo's self-driving car program, but you are not	01:04:12
25	prepared to testify about the costs of each	01:04:19
		Page 95

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2322-5 Filed 12/03/17 Page 5 of 6 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	Q What is the cost of developing Trade	01:20:40
2	Secret 2, Trade Secret 25, and Trade Secret 90?	01:20:42
3	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:20:46
4	A So to the extent that the expert has	01:20:48
5	surmised that development of that trade secret is	01:20:55
6	something that is kind of program inception to the	01:20:58
7	date that, again, this comes to, like, fruition or	01:21:02
8	whatever you want to call it, it it is that	01:21:04
9	1 point it is the spend for the entirety of the	01:21:08
10	program from that inception to the date that that's	01:21:11
11	cut off at, which is 2015 or '16, based on the	01:21:14
12	spreadsheet.	01:21:19
13	Q (BY MS. CHANG) Given that the entirety of	01:21:19
14	the program cost from inception to 2015 is about	01:21:21
15	, would it be fair to say that the costs	01:21:28
16	of developing Trade Secret 2 is the same as the cost	01:21:31
17	of developing Trade Secrets 2, 25, and 90 together?	01:21:35
18	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	01:21:40
19	A You're asking: Is is the cost of	01:21:43
20	developing is the cost of developing one, the	01:21:45
21	same as the cost of developing all of them?	01:21:49
22	Q (BY MS. CHANG) All three of them that we	01:21:53
23	just discussed.	01:21:54
24	A I like, I don't know that I understand?	01:21:56
25	Like, is the cost the same? I mean, I guess my	01:22:08
		Page 109

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2322-5 Filed 12/03/17 Page 6 of 6 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSELS EYES ONLY

1	MR. MACK: Objection, form.	02:22:46
2	A So again, it what the	02:22:47
3	the number represents the full program spend from	02:22:49
4	inception to the date of fruition, plus just	02:22:53
5	sorry. Just to make sure. Plus, the 510 Systems in	02:22:57
6	the various instances.	02:23:01
7	Q (BY MS. CHANG) Who provided the	02:23:04
8	information regarding when that trade secret came to	02:23:05
9	fruition?	02:23:17
10	A It I know that's the word I have been	02:23:21
11	using. Again, it's a nontechnical term, so I don't	02:23:23
12	know if that's the right one or not. And also,	02:23:26
13	given that I don't have that technical background, I	02:23:28
14	can't tell you that.	02:23:32
15	Q You do not know when each of the nine	02:23:49
16	trade secrets at issue had its development	02:23:52
17	completed?	02:24:02
18	MR. MACK: Objection, form; beyond the	02:24:06
19	scope.	02:24:06
20	A So I do not have a technical understanding	02:24:09
21	of that. I know that the cost numbers that informed	02:24:11
22	these, like, dollar amounts in the interrogatories	02:24:15
23	cover a period of time that I have discussed in each	02:24:19
24	of these preceding, like, answer questions and	02:24:24
25	answers.	02:24:26
		Page 138